Convivial Conservation Degrowth and the quest of overcoming Capitalist Conservation

> **Bram Büscher, Institute of Social Studies** Degrowth conference – 2-6 September 2014

> > September 8

Introduction

- Capitalism & Conservation: historically intertwined
- Hence: degrowth, in its most radical incarnation (DG-4 / a-growth), must have implications for conservation
- What are these implications, and what is the potential role for conservation in a post-capitalist world of 'ecoviviality'?



'Accumulation by Conservation'

Period	Regime of Accumulation	Key Characteristics	Dominant Ideology	Conservation Approach	Key Mechanisms
1860s- 1960s	Colonial/Fordist/Organized/Capitalism/	Vertical integration; Statism; violence	Liberalism / Keynesianism	Fortress Conservation	Protected Areas; State funding; wildlife tourism
1970- 2000	Post-Fordism / Disorganized Capitalism	Flexible accumulation; decentralization	Roll-back Neoliberalism	Flexible Conservation	CBC; ICDPs; Biosphere reserves; Ecotourism; Bioprospecting
1990s			Roll-out Neoliberalism		TFCAs; PES Carbon markets;
2000- Present	Financialization / Casino Capitalism	Spectacular accumulation, networks, crisis		Fictitious Conservation	species/wet-lands banking; financial derivatives; REDD

Table 1: Accumulation by Conservation (Sources: Mandel 1978; Lash and Urry 1987; Harvey 1989;Arrighi 2009; Nealon 2008; Büscher 2013)

Capitalist *conservation* is underpinned by capitalist *natures* or 'Nature[™] INC'

- Nature Incorporated: quantified as 'natural capital'
- <u>Trademarked nature</u>: nature's status in sociotechnical production and its legal, commercial and scientific frames
- <u>Nature on the move</u>: Nature translated into 'value' that moves through global markets
- <u>Nature on the go</u>: Nature consumed through park visits, online and 'armchair' conservation, species images, etc.
- <u>The death of nature</u>: (Merchant) reduction of nature to an inanimate, technocratically manipulable object, immersed in violence

From capital-ism via degrowth to 'ecoviviality'

- Political struggle & strategy to deal with institutionalised forms of accumulated power across material and discursive domains
- Two-step strategy: from (radical) reformism to radical, systemic change away from capital as 'value in process':
 - Short term subvert the logic of capital: truly 'green' production, cut subsidies, redirect public spending, tax CO2 & financial transactions, defuse competitive pressure, slow down trade, lessen advertising, encourage 'degrowth', learn from all the alternatives / different cosmologies out there, etc.
 - Medium to longer term: conceptualizing and building 'alternative economic spaces', based not on logic of capital and economic growth but on logics of equality and radical ecological democracy (Shrivastava & Kothari)
- Outcomes (for nature and conservation) depend on these complex, contrived and contradictory processes

Convivial conservation

- Underpinned by convivial natures *multiple*: nature as commons; nature as relational: living with (Turnhout et al, 2013); nature as animate, as freedom, as peace, etc
- Convivial conservation: about different uses, frames and forms of embeddednes of multiple natures
- A political economic system that needs hierarchical, rules, regulations, discipline and biopower backed by violence in order to save (non-human) nature from itself has a problem!
- CC about not setting nature apart but integrating the uses of (non-human) natures into social, cultural, and ecological contexts and systems (re-embedding)
- All based on and in non-commodified (!) value systems and constructs of space and time
 September 8, 2014

The uses of convivial conservation

- Changing value, space, time from focus on capital to focus on people and nature in relation to:
- Protected areas (fortress conservation):
 - Emphasis on openness and access
 - Involvement of non-experts: radical democratisation of management
 - From commodified tourism to non-commodified visitation
- Community (flexible) conservation (& development):
 - flexibility and decentralisation focused on the social rather than the (neoliberal) market
 - Changing community to *include* nature
 - Rights of nature pacha mama
- Financialised (fictitious) conservation:
 - Integrating value into everyday life rather than in distant, compturized markets
 - Non-monetized, non-commodified forms of the exchange of nature



Some key questions for research and practice

- What types of value, space and time can aid the noncommodified use of natures?
- What is radical democratic nature, how do we get there?
- How do we move from the production of nature to a nature embedded in work, labor and action (Arendt)?
- How to connect networks, scale and institutions to convivial natures and conservation?
- Will there be need for conservation at all under ecoviviality?





Thanks!

buscher@iss.nl

END

eden