Reflections on 'society' and 'space' in the degrowth debate

Fourth International Conference on Degrowth for Ecological Sustainability and Social Equity

Leipzig

3 September 2014

Dorothee Quade

Friedrich Schiller University of Jena

Outline

- 1. Hypothesis and objectives
- 2. Why society-space relationships?
- 3. Degrowth as a "local ecological democracy"
 - a) The "local"
 - b) The "ecological"
 - c) "Democracy"
- 4. Conclusion and further research

1 Hypothesis and objectives

 Hypothesis: Degrowth is to do with a reconfiguration of society-space relationships.

Objectives:

- To identify what are considered 'successful' society-space relationships in the degrowth debate,
- To highlight some of the facets of these society-space relationships as they are presented in the degrowth literature, and
- To develop the idea that degrowth is not merely "old –isms in new guises" but has the potential of combining traditional and late-modern elements of society-space relationships in a new and nondeterministic way.

2 Society-space relationships

- How societies are constituted and stabilised is also to do with space.
- How do people handle their being in space?
- Society-space relationships offer an alternative way of analysing societal change.
- For example, traditional vs. late-modern society-space relationships

Society-space relationships in degrowth societies?

"The prospect offered is that in which the local is not a closed microcosm, but a linkage in a network of horizontal, virtuous and solidarity relations, aiming to experiment with practices of democratic reinforcement ... it is about laboratories of critical analysis and self-government for the defence of the common good" (Latouche 2007, n.p.).

"natural regions where livestock, plants, animals, water and men form a unique and harmonious whole" (Panikkar 1995 quoted in Latouche 2006)

"a municipality of municipalities, a 'town of towns' or even a 'town of villages', or in other words an ecopolis, meaning a polycentric or multipolar network ... a complex set of local territorial systems" (Latouche 2009, 44)

"a redefinition of politics, a return to the word's original Greek meaning as the management of the community or *polis* by means of direct face-to-face assemblies of the people in the formulation of public policy and based on an ethics of complementarity and solidarity" (Bookchin 1991, n.p.)

4 Conclusion and further research

- Degrowth is fundamentally about society-space relationships and their reconfiguration.
- Degrowth is not merely "old –isms in new guises" but has the potential of combining traditional and late-modern elements of society-space relationships in a new and non-deterministic way.
- Proposing society-space relationships as an alternative way of analysing societal change.

4 Conclusion and further research

- What would a possible amalgam of traditional and modern geographies look like when implemented in practice?
- When does small cease being beautiful?
- To what extent does the universal aspiration of "a good life for everyone" run counter to the highly localized forms of societal organization?
- Could an analysis of society-space relationships in the degrowth debate help advance a geographical debate on theoretical framework regarding possible combinations of traditional and late-modern elements in a non-deterministic way?

Thank you for your attention

References

- Bookchin, M. (1991). Libertarian Municipalism: An Overview. Green Perspectives, (24). Accessed on 29 July 2014; retrieved from http://dwardmac.pitzer.edu/Anarchist_Archives/bookchin/gp/perspectives24.html
- Cheynet, V. (2006). L'universalisme, raison de notre engagement pour la décroissance. Critique du dernier livre de Serge Latouche 'Le Pari de la décroissance', Fayard, 2006. *Institut d'études économiques et sociales pour la décroissance soutenable (IEESDS)*. Accessed on 13 July 2014; retrieved from http://www.decroissance.org/?chemin=textes/parilatouche
- De Benoist, A. (2007). Demain, la décroissance! Penser l'écologie jusqu'au bout. Paris: Édite.
- Flipo, F. (2009). Les racines conceptuelles de la décroissance. In B. Mylondo (Ed.), La décroissance économique. Pour la soutenabilité écologique et l'équité sociale (pp. 19–32). Broissieux: Éditions du Croquant.
- Latouche, S. (2006, January). The globe downshifted. Le Monde Diplomatique. Paris. Accessed on 15 June 2014; retrieved from http://mondediplo.com/2006/01/13degrowth
- Latouche, S. (2007). De-growth: an electoral stake? *The International Journal of Inclusive Democracy, 3*(1). Accessed on 24 July 2013; retrieved from http://www.inclusivedemocracy.org/journal/vol3/vol3_no1_Latouche_degrowth.htm
- Latouche, S. (2009). Farewell to growth. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Muraca, B. (2013). Does democracy need economic growth to its stabilization? Challenges and visions for a democratic transition to a post-growth-society (pp. 1–23). Presented at the 10th International Conference of the European Society for Ecological Economics: Ecological Economics and Institutional Dynamics, Reims, Brussels, Lille: European Society for Ecological Economics.
- Panikkar, R. (1995). Reinventare la politica. Città di Castello: l'Altrapagina.
- Shiva, V. (1993). The Greening of the Global Reach. In W. Sachs (Ed.), *Global Ecology: A New Arena of Political Conflict* (pp. 149–156). London: Zed Books.
- Rahnema, M. (1992). Poverty. In W. Sachs (Ed.), The Development Dictionary: A Guide to Knowledge as Power (pp. 158–176). London: Zed Books.
- Rahnema, M. (2001). The Riches of the Poor: An Archaeology of Poverty. Oakland, CA: The Oakland-Table.
- Romano, O. (2012). How to rebuild democracy, re-thinking degrowth. *Futures. Special Issue: Politics, Democracy and Degrowth, 44*(6), 582–589. doi: 10.1016/j.futures.2012.03.019
- Serres, M. (1995). The Natural Contract. (E. MacArthur & W. Paulson, Trans.). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Schumacher, E. F. (1973). Small is beautiful: a study of economics as if people mattered. New York: Blond & Briggs.
- Thoreau, H. D. (1854). Walden: or life in the woods. Boston: Ticknor and Fields.
- Werlen, B. (1993). Society, action and space: an alternative human geography. London: Routledge.